```
STEPHANIE S. CHRISTENSEN
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
    BENJAMIN R. BARRON
 2
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office
    DANIEL S. LIM (Cal. Bar No. 292406)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
         United States Courthouse
 5
         411 West 4th Street, Suite 8000
         Santa Ana, California 92701
         Telephone: (714) 338-3538 Facsimile: (714) 338-3561
 6
 7
                  daniel.lim@usdoj.gov
         E-mail:
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 9
10
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
                                         No. SA CR 22-88-DOC
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13
              Plaintiff,
                                         ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
                                         FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
14
                                          TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY
                   v.
                                         TRIAL ACT [23]
15
    KHANH KIM NGUYEN,
                                          [PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [06-13-23]
16
              Defendant.
17
18
19
         The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
20
    Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
21
    Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act. The Court
22
    hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by
```

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and all

continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause

for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18

reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a

23

24

25

26

27

28

U.S.C. § 3161.

defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny all defendants continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; and (iv) the time period of September 6, 2022 to June 13, 2023 constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendant.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from September 6, 2022 to June 13, 2023 at 8:30 AM. The status conference hearing is continued to June 5, 2023 at 1:30 PM.
- 2. The time period of September 6, 2022 to June 13, 2023, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6), 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 26, 2022

DATE

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

wid O. Curter

##